

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8234		
10/759,945	01/16/2004	Jos Huybrechts	FA1105USNA			
23906	23906 7590 08/31/2006		EXAMINER			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			WU, IV	WU, IVES J		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
4417 LANCAS	STER PIKE		1724			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/759,945	HUYBRECHTS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ives Wu	1724	

Before the filling of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ives Wu	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	):	·			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).					
13. Other:	(1 10/00/00 01 1 10-1449) Faper I	10(3).			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' Remarks filed on 8/21/06 is received and noted. Applicants' provide the evidence of structural diffierence of the product from the prior art reference Stengel et al (US006458885B1). Since applicants' claim 1 is composition claim with two components and process further limiting the component A (which is unpatentable. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695698, 277 USPQ 964, 966 Fed. Cir. 1985), the disclosure of coating composition by Stengel et al (US006458885B1) meet the composition requirements of applicants' claim 1. Therefore, the rationale of final rejection for claims 1-13 dated May 19, 2006 is sustained. Applicants are reminded that claims define what applicants' regard as their invention. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

DUANE SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER